GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Services – Revenue Department – Allegations of indulging in corrupt practices and not serving services to public as per citizen charter in the O/o Tahasildar, Anakapalli District - Sri Maddu Naga Venkata Chittinaidu, Village Revenue Officer Vajragada Village, O/o Tahsildar, Makhavarapalem, Anakapalli District, Disciplinary proceedings under rule – 20 of Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991 – Articles of charge – Issued.

REVENUE (VIGILANCE-I) DEPARTMENT

G.O.RT.No. 1279 **Dated: 22-10-2025**
Read:

****

ORDER:

It is proposed to hold an enquiry against Sri Maddu Naga Venkata Chittinaidu, Village Revenue Officer Vajragada Village, O/o Tahsildar, Makhavarapalem, Anakapalli District, with the procedure laid down in Rule 20 of Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991.

2. The substance of the imputations of misconduct or misbehavior in respect of which the enquiry are proposed to be held is set out in the enclosed statement of Articles of Charges (Annexure – I). A list of documents by which, and a list of witnesses by whom the articles of charges are proposed to be sustained are also enclosed (Annexure-IV & III).

3. Sri Maddu Naga Venkata Chittinaidu, Village Revenue Officer Vajragada Village, O/o Tahsildar, Makhavarapalem, Anakapalli District, is directed to submit a written statement of his defense within 10 days of receipt of this order.

4. Sri Maddu Naga Venkata Chittinaidu, Village Revenue Officer Vajragada Village, O/o Tahsildar, Makhavarapalem, Anakapalli District, is informed that an inquiry will be held only in respect of those articles of charges as are not admitted. He should, therefore, specifically admit or deny each article of charge.

5. Sri Maddu Naga Venkata Chittinaidu, Village Revenue Officer Vajragada Village, O/o Tahsildar, Makhavarapalem, Anakapalli District, is further informed that if they do not submit his written statement of defense on or before the date specified in para 3 above further action will be processed based on the material available.

6. Attention of Sri Maddu Naga Venkata Chittinaidu, Village Revenue Officer Vajragada Village, O/o Tahsildar, Makhavarapalem, Anakapalli District, invited to Rule 24 of APCS (Conduct) Rules 1964, under which no Government Servant shall bring or attempt to bring any political or outside influence to bear upon any superior authority to further his interest in respect of matters pertaining to his service under the Government. If any representation is received on his behalf from another person in respect of any matter dealt within these proceedings, it will be presumed that Sri Maddu Naga Venkata Chittinaidu, Village Revenue Officer Vajragada Village, O/o Tahsildar, Makhavarapalem, Anakapalli District, is aware of such a representation and that it has been made at his instance and action will be taken against him for violation of Rule 24 of Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Conduct) Rules 1964.

(P.T.O)

7. The receipt of the Memorandum may be acknowledged.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

G JAYALAKSHMI
SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT(FAC)

To
Sri Maddu Naga Venkata Chittinaidu. Village Revenue Officer Vajragada Village, O/o Tahsildar, Makhavarapalem, Anakapalli District, (Through the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration & Spl.CS, A.P., Mangalagiri for service and to return the served copy with dated acknowledgement immediately (By RPAD))
The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration & Spl.CS, A.P., Mangalagiri.
Copy to:
The District Collector, Anakapalli District.
The PS to Hon'ble Minister (Rev, R&S).
The PS to Spl.CS (Lands, R&S).
SF/SC.

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE-I

STATEMENT OF ARTICLES OF CHARGES FRAMED AGAINST SRI MADDU NAGA VENKATA CHITTINAIDU. VILLAGE REVENUE OFFICER VAJRAGADA VILLAGE, O/o TAHSILDAR, MAKHAVARAPALEM, ANAKAPALLI DISTRICT.

That Sri. Maddu Naga Venkata Chittinaidu, Village Revenue Officer Vajragada village, O/o Tahsildhar, Makavarapalem Mandal, Anakapalli District (herein after referred as Government Servant) while functioning as such during the period between 15-07-2019 to 28-01-2022 (till date of Regular Enquiry) committed grave misconduct in as much as:

ARTCILE-I:

That the Government Servant in abuse of his official position demanded and accepted bribe of Rs.15,000/- from the Spandana caller an undue advantage with the intention to perform or cause performance public duty improperly or dishonestly i.e., for mutation of the land pertaining to his mother Smt. Vantakula Ammaji.

ARTCILE-II:

The Government Servant failed to follow the procedure in processing the mutation Application of Smt.Vanatakula Ammaji beyond SLA (Service legal agreement) period and failed to put date underneath his signature.

Thus Sri Maddu Naga Venkata Chittinaidu, 43 yrs, Village Revenue Officer, Vajragada village, O/o Tahsildhar, Makavarapalem Mandal, Anakapalli District by his above mentioned acts exhibited lack of devotion to duty, failed to maintain integrity, behaved in a manner unbecoming of a Government Servant and brought down the prestige of the Government and there by violated/contravened Rules 3(1) & 3(2) of AP Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

G JAYALAKSHMI
SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT(FAC)

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE -II

STATEMENT OF IMPUTATION OF MISCONDUCT OR MISBEHAVIOR IN SUPPORT OF THE ARTICLES OF CHARGES FRAMED AGAINST SRI MADDU NAGA VENKATA CHITTINAIDU, 49 YRS. VILLAGE REVENUE OFFICER, VAJRAGADA O/o TAHSILDAR, MAKHAVARAPALEM, ANAKAPALLI DISTRICT.

Sri Maddu Naga Venkata Chittinaidu, 49 yrs., is native of Rolugunta.Anakapalli District. His date of birth is 18.01.1976. He entered into Government service on 01.07.2008 as Village Revenue Officer and worked up to 06-10-2015 at Peda Boddepalli Village of Narsipatnam Mandal, later transferred to Pamulavaka village, Kotauratla Mandal and worked up to 11-07-2018 in the same capacity, later transferred to Rajayyapeta Village, Pendurthi Mandal and worked up to 14-07-2019 in the same capacity. He was transferred to Vajragada Panchyat O/o Tahsildar, Makavarapalem Mandal, Visakhapatnam District now Anakapalli District and worked in the same capacity from 15-07-2019 to 28.01.2022, (till date of Regular Enquiry). He is due to retire on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.01.2038. He is here-in-after referred to as Government Servant (G.S.).

CHARGE-I:

That the Government Servant in abuse of his official position demanded and accepted bribe of Rs.15,000/- from the Spandana caller an undue advantage with the intention to perform or cause performance public duty improperly or dishonestly i.e., for mutation of the land pertaining to his mother Smt. Vantakula Ammaji.

FACTS AND EVIDENCE:

Sri Vantakula Janikaram, S/o late Tamminaidu, 30 years, Velama coolie, Door. No C-44/1, Vajragada village, Makavarapalem Mandal, Visakhapatnam district made a call through Spandana toll free number 14400 on 15.03.21 and it was registered vide Spandana Grievance Doc.No.14400-1940, Dt.15.03.2021. Wherein the Village Revenue Officer, Vajragada Villlage, Makavarapalem Mandal Sri Maddu Naga Venkata Chittinaidu (Government Servant) demanded bribe amount of Rs.20,000/- for the mutation of land in the name of his mother, on the request of the petitioner the VRO reduce the amount to 15,000/-.

On 16.04.2021 the petition was entrusted to Sri Y.K. Kishore Kumar, Inspector, ACB, Visakhapatnam Range for enquiry and report.

During the course of Regular enquiry the Inspector ACB secured the presence of independent mediators 1) Sri. AVNS Murty, Head Master, ZPHS, Kotta Yellavaram Village Golugonda Mandal. 2) Onthu Sanyasi Rao, School Assistant Social,ZPHS, Kotta Yellavaram Village Golugonda Mandal, at Makavarapalem Police Station on 28-01-2022 at 10.25 hrs., and reached the house of Vantakula Janakiram of Vajragada village at about 11.00 Hrs., then Inspector secured the presence of the flowing witnesses and examined them and recorded their statements in the presence of mediators.

1. Sri Vantakula Janaki Ram S/o Thamminaidu, 30 yrs, K. Velama coolie, Door. No C-44/1, Vajragada village, Makavarapalem Mandal, Visakhapatnam district.

He is the petitioner of Spandana application vide Grievance No.14400-1940, dated 15-03-2021 and residing at the above address. He is living by doing coolie works and his father Thamminaidu was died on 04-12-2016 due to ill health. His paternal grandparents are having 3 sons and one daughter. Being the ancestral property of his grandparents, his father got an extent land of 0.95 cents in Survey.No.81-2 and an extent land of 0.40 cents in Survey.No.83 towards his share, but land is in the name of his grandfather VantakulaAppanna. After demise of his father they intended to mutate land in the name of his mother Smt.VantakulaAmmaji. On 05-10-2020 he submitted application to Tahsildar, Makavarapalem at BoddepalliMee-seva centre for mutation of the land in the name of his mother Ammaji of 0.95 cents in Survey No. 81-2 and an extent land of 0.40 cents in Survey. No. 83. He came to know that his junior paternal uncle Ramashankara Rao also submitted his application for the mutation of the land which was succeeded towards his share. While the things stood thus, he met their VRO Chittinaidu in respect of mutation of the land in the name of his mother. Then the VRO demanded bribe amount of Rs.20,000/- for the mutation of land in the name of his mother. While approaching the VRO he submitted copies of their ration card, Adhar card of his mother,

death certificate of his father and grandfather, F-line Survey report, Pattadar Pass book existed in the name of his grandfather VantakulaAppanna S/o Venkanna and also the signed affidavit regarding partition of ancestral property among his mother, maternal aunt and the two junior paternal uncles of the petitioner. By that time he requested the VRO to reduce the bribe amount for which firmly demanded Rs.15,000/- as bribe as there is no alternative Janakiram gave said Rs.15,000/- to the VRO Chittinaidu approximately 3 days prior to the lodging of complaint to ACB by him through Spandana. Though he gave bribe to VRO the mutation of their land was not completed, for which he complained to ACB on 15-03-2021 through Spandana Toll free number. Subsequently he went to Tahsildar office and caused enquiries about their application and came to know that mutation for one survey number was rejected, for which he questioned the VRO about the rejection. Then VRO suggested Janakiram to submit a fresh application again and also assured him for causing mutation since the issue was already finished. In the meanwhile, due to wild spread of Covid-19 he did not apply for mutation immediately. Subsequently he applied for mutation of land to extent of land Ac.0.40 cents in remaining S.No. on 28-07-2021 and it was completed on 28-08-2021.

2. Smt. VantakulaAmmaji W/o late Tamminaidu, 52 years, K. Velama, coolie, Door.No. C-44/1, Vajragada, Makavarapalem Mandal, Visakhapatnam District.

She is the resident of above address her marriage was solemnized with one VantakulaTamminaidu S/o Late Appayya of Vajragada village during the year 1987 and he was died in the year 2016 due to ill health. Her aunt Adilaxmi is having three sons and one daughter out of four her husband is the elder one. Being the ancestral property pertaining to her husband she got an extent land of 0.95 cents in Survey.No.81-2 and an extent land of 0.40 cents in Survey.No.83 total 1.35 acres as her share and such land is existed on the name of his uncle late VantakulaAppanna. After demise of her husband and uncle they intend to mutate their share in her name. On 05-10-2020 her son Janakiram applied to Tahsildar, Makavarapalem for mutation at BoddepalliMee-seva centre on her name for 0.95 cents in Survey.No. 81-2 and an extent land of 0.40 cents in Survey. No. 83. Subsequently her son Janakiram approached their VRO Chittinaidu for the further process and also her son informed her that VRO Chittinaidu demanded bribe an amount of Rs. 20,000/- for the mutation of their land. While applying for mutation they submitted their ration card, Adhar card of herself, death certificate of her uncle and husband, F-line Survey report, Pattadar Pass book existed on the name of her late uncle VantakulaAppanna S/o Venkanna and also the signed affidavit regarding sharing of ancestral property pertaining to herself, her two younger brother-in-laws and her sister-in-law. By that time Janakiram expressed his inability to give Rs.20,000/- and convinced the VRO to reduce the bribe amount, then VRO firmly demanded bribe Rs.15,000/-, as there is no alternative janakiram gave Rs.15,000/- to VRO three days before lodging of Spandana call to ACB since their work was not completed that on 15-03-2022 Janakiram made complaint to Spandana. Subsequently Janakiram went to Tahsildar office, caused enquiries and came to know that out of their 2 survey numbers one survey number was rejected then janakiram questioned the VRO about the rejection of their one survey number, for which the VRO Chittinaidu informed Janakiram to apply again for the rejected application and also assured for causing mutation of said remaining survey number. In the meanwhile, due to wild spread of Covid-19 they did not applied immediately, but at the end of July month they applied for mutation and the mutation was completed in one month for an extent land for Ac.0.40 cents in survey.No.83 and issued pass books accordingly.

3. Sri Vantakula Manga W/o Rama Sankara Rao, 34 yrs., Caste by K. Velama, R/o D.No.C-49/1, Vajragada village, Makavarapalem Mandal,Visakhapatnam District.

She is the resident of above address her marriage was solemnized with one Vantakula Rama Sankara Rao during the year-2000. Her husband doing coolie works at Maharastra state and rarely used to visit their Village Vajragada. Her uncle and aunt are having three sons and one daughter. Being the ancestral property pertaining to her husband they got an extent land of 0.70 cents in survey. No. 81-2 and an extent land of 0.40 cents in survey.No. 83. Said land is existed in the name of his uncle VantakulaAppanna. After demise of her uncle they shared their ancestral property and applied for mutation on 08-10-2020 for the mutation of an extent land of 0.70 cents in survey. No. 81-2 and an extent land of 0.40 cents in survey.No. 83 to Tahsildar, Makavarapalem at Boddepalli Mee-seva centre. But they were not approved due to which again her husband applied second time on 09-04-201 and again on 28-07-2021. Likewise her elder brother-in-law's son Janaki ram also applied for mutation of their shared land for an extent of Ac.0.95 cents in Survey.No.81-2 and an extent of Ac.0.40 cents in Survey.No.83 total Ac.1.35 acres on 05-10-2020 on the name of his mother VantakulaAmmaji as her husband was died. Subsequently she came to know her elder brother-in-law's son Janakiram approached their VRO Chittinaidu for the mutation of his mother's land. By that time also the VRO Chittinaidu demanded bribe an amount of Rs. 20,000/- to Janakiram. Though Janakiram

and his mother not interested to give bribe to VRO the VRO insisted them frequently and reduced to Rs.15,000/-, for which Janakiram gave such bribe amount Rs.15,000/- as there is no alternative. She also came to know that after 2 to 3 days gap giving bribe to VRO, Janakiram complained to ACB over phone.

During the course of enquiry the Inspector and mediators visited the O/o Tashildar, Makavarapalem and secured the presence of the Tahsildar, Dy. Tahsildar and seized the following documents in the presence of mediators for the purpose of enquiry.

1. Copies of 3 mutation files (containing 51 sheets),
2. Web-land adangal copies (containing 02 sheets),
3. Mee-Seva /SRO Application Status in Web-land (containing 05 sheets),
4. Mutation list extracted from mee-Seva dash board (containing 01 sheet) belongs to the applicants Smt. VantakulaAmmaji and Sri Vantakula Rama Sankara Rao.

On being questioned by Inspector, the Tahsildar failed to produce mutation application No.RMU 012005367989, dated: 05-10-2020 of Vantakula Ammaji and mutation application No. RMU012106539144, dated 09-04-2021 of Vantakula Rama Sankara Rao.

Hence, the charge against the Government Servant that in abuse of his official position demanded and accepted bribe of Rs.15,000/- from the Spandana caller an undue advantage with the intention to perform or cause performance public duty improperly or dishonestly i.e., for mutation of the land pertaining to his mother Smt. Vantakula Ammaji in the land record, is held substantiated.

Charge II:

The Government Servant failed to follow the procedure in processing the mutation Application of Smt.Vanatakula Ammaji beyond SLA (Service legal agreement) period and failed to put date underneath his signature.

FACTS AND EVIDENCE.

That on 15.03.2021, Sri Vantakula Janikaram, S/o late Tamminaidu, 30 years, Velama coolie, Door. No C-44/1, Vajragada village, Makavarapalem Mandal, Visakhapatnam district made a call through Spandana toll free number 14400 and it was registered vide Spandana Grievance Doc.No.14400-1940, Dt.15.03.2021. Wherein the Village Revenue Officer, VajragadaVilllage, Makavarapalem Mandal Sri Maddu Naga Venkata Chittinaidu(Government Servant)Then the VRO demanded bribe amount of Rs.20,000/- for the mutation of land in the name of his mother, on the request of the petitioner the VRO reduce the amount to 15,000/-.

On 16.04.2021 the petition was entrusted to Sri Y.K. Kishore Kumar, Inspector, ACB, Visakhapatnam Range for enquiry and report.

During the course of Regular enquiry the Inspector ACB secured the presence of independent mediators 1) Sri. AVNS Murty, Head Master, ZPHS, Kotta Yellavaram Village Golugonda Mandal. 2) Onthu Sanyasi Rao, School Assistant Social,ZPHS, Kotta Yellavaram Village Golugonda Mandal, at Makavarapalem Police Station on 28-01-2022 at 10.25 hrs., and reached the house of Vantakula Janakiram of Vajragada village at about 11.00 Hrs., then Inspector secured the presence of the flowing witnesses and examined them and recorded their statements in the presence of mediators.

1. Sri Vantakula Janaki Ram S/o Thamminaidu, 30 yrs, K. Velama coolie, Door. No C-44/1, Vajragada village, Makavarapalem Mandal, Visakhapatnam district.
2. Smt. VantakulaAmmaji W/o late Tamminaidu, 52 years, K. Velama, coolie, Door.No. C-44/1, Vajragada, Makavarapalem Mandal, Visakhapatnam District.
3. Sri Vantakula Manga W/o Rama Sankara Rao, 34 yrs., Caste by K. Velama, R/o D.No.C-49/1, Vajragada village, Makavarapalem Mandal, Visakhapatnam District.

The gist of the statements of the above witnesses are already mentioned in Charge-I.

During the course of enquiry the Inspector and mediators visited the O/o Tashildar, Makavarapalem and secured the presence of the Tahsildar, Dy. Tahsildar and seized the following documents in the presence of mediators for the purpose of enquiry.

1. Copies of 3 mutation files (containing 51 sheets),
2. Web-land adangal copies (containing 02 sheets),
3. Mee-Seva /SRO Application Status in Web-land (containing 05 sheets),
4. Mutation list extracted from mee-Seva dash board (containing 01 sheet) belongs to the applicants Smt. VantakulaAmmaji and Sri Vantakula Rama Sankara Rao.

On being questioned by Inspector, the Tahsildar failed to produce mutation application No.RMU 012005367989, dated: 05-10-2020 of Vantakula Ammaji and mutation application No. RMU012106539144, dated 09-04-2021 of Vantakula Rama Sankara Rao.

During the enquiry, the Inspector secured the following document.

1. R.C.NO.189/2022,SA, Dt:03-09-2022 of Tahsildar's office, Makavarapalem pertaining to service particulars of SO Sri Maddu Naga Venkata Chittinaidu and job chart of V.R.O, Vajragada village (AS PER G.O. MS. NO. 415 OF REVENUE (SER.III) DEPARTMENT, DATED:26-09-2019).

The Tahsildar, Makavarapalem has provided the service particulars and Job chart of the Government Servant.

2. Rc.No.1443/2022/G/D 26 .09.2022 Sub-Collector's Office, Narsipatnam.

RDO, Narisipantam stated that, it is a fact that the above referred 2 cases abnormal delay was occurred. The Tahsildar shall see that no application has come to beyond SLA duly reviewing the work of the concerned in this office. At the same time all the concerned staff shall adhere to the time lines given by the Government in processing the connected files. In the absence of putting dates against the signatures of the officials who dealt with the fil right from Village Revenue Officer to Tahsildar, it can be treated that all connected officials are responsible for the delayh as compounding responsibility i.e Village Revenue Officer, concerned Revenue Inspector, Dy.Tahsildar, and the Tahsildar are responsible for the delay. It Proves that Sri Maddu Naga Venkata Chittinaidu Village Revenue officer, Vajragada village as he failed to follow the procedure in processing the mutation application of Smt. Vanatakula Ammaji after SLA (Service legal agreement) period.

3. RC.No.749/2022/G/Dt:19-07-2022of Sub-Collector's Office, Narsipatnam.

The RDO Narisipatnam informed that no instructions for extension of time have been given for completion the services beyond SLA (Service Legal Agreement) period during Covid-19.

Hence, charge against the Government Servant that he failed to discharge his legitimate duties as per the job chart, and failed to follow the procedure in processing the mutation Application of Smt.Vanatakula Ammaji beyond SLA (Service legal agreement) period and failed to put date underneath his signature, is held substantiated.

Thus the Government Servant Sri. Maddu Naga Venkata Chittinaidu, 49 yrs., Village Revenue Officer, O/o Tahsildhar, Makhavarapalem, Anakapalli District by his above mentioned acts exhibited lack of integrity, devotion to duty and conduct unbecoming of Government servant and brought down the prestige of Government and thereby violated Rule 3 (1) & (2) of AP Civil Service (conduct) Rules, 1964.

Thus, the Government Servant Sri. Maddu Naga Venkata Chittinaidu, 49 yrs., Village Revenue Officer, O/o Tahsildhar, Makhavarapalem, Anakapalli District Dist rendered himself for regular departmental enquiry for major penalty under Rule 20 of APCS (CC & A) Rules, 1991.

G JAYALAKSHMI
SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT(FAC)

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE –III

LIST OF WITNESSES BY WHOM THE ARTICLES OF CHARGES FRAMED AGAINST SRI MADDU NAGA VENKATA CHITTINAIDU, 49 YRS. VILLAGE REVENUE OFFICER, VAJRAGADA O/o TAHSILDAR, MAKHAVARAPALEM, ANAKAPALLI DISTRICT PROPOSED TO BE SUSTAINED.

Charge-I & II:

ORAL EVIDENCE:

The following oral evidence is available on record.

1.	Sri. AVNS Murty, Head Master, ZPHS, Kotta Yellavaram Village Golugonda Mandal. (Mediator-I) To speaks about he acting as mediators at the time of enquiry, examination of witnesses and seizer of documents and etc proceedings dated dtd. 28.01.2022.
2.	Onthu Sanyasi Rao, School Assistant Social, ZPHS, Kotta Yellavaram Village Golugonda Mandal, at Makavarapalem. (Mediator-II). To speaks about he acting as mediators at the time of enquiry, examination of witnesses and seizer of documents and etc proceedings dated dtd. 28.01.2022
3.	Sri Vantakula Janaki Ram S/o Thamminaidu, 30 yrs, K. Velama coolie, Door. No C-44/1, Vajragada village, Makavarapalem Mandal, Visakhapatnam district. He is the petitioner of Spandana application vide Grievance No.14400-1940, dated 15-03-2021. He speaks about the contents of his report given to, Spandana application and etc facts.
4.	Smt. Vantakula Ammaji W/o late Tamminaidu, 52 years, K. Velama, coolie, Door.No. C-44/1, Vajragada, Makavarapalem Mandal, Visakhapatnam District. She is the mother of the petitioner Vantakula Janaki Ram and corroborated all the facts as stated by the petitioner and etc facts.
5.	Sri Vantakula Manga W/o Rama Sankara Rao, 34 yrs., Caste by K. Velama, R/o D.No.C-49/1, Vajragada village, Makavarapalem Mandal, Visakhapatnam District. She stated that on 08-10-2020 her husband Rama Sankara Rao applied application for mutation of an extent land of 0.70 cents in survey. No. 81-2 and an extent land of 0.40 cents in survey.No. 83 to Tahsildar, Makavarapalem at Boddepalli Mee-seva centre. But they were not approved due to which again her husband applied second time on 09-04-2021 and again on 28-07-2021. Likewise her elder brother-in-law's son Janaki ram also applied for mutation of their shared land for an extent of Ac.0.95 cents in Survey.No.81-2 and an extent of Ac.0.40 cents in Survey.No.83 total Ac.1.35 acres on 05-10-2020 on the name of his mother Vantakula Ammaji as her husband was died. Subsequently she came to know her elder brother-in-law's son Janakiram approached their VRO Chittinaidu for the mutation of his mother's land. By that time also the VRO Chittinaidu demanded bribe an amount of Rs. 20,000/- to Janakiram and etc facts.
6.	Sri Y.K. Kishore Kumar, Inspector, ACB, Visakhapatnam Range. He speaks about his enquiry and sending of DFR and DACs etc., facts.
7.	Any other witness to be mentioned at the time of enquiry

G JAYALAKSHMI
SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT(FAC)

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE –IV

LIST OF DOCUMENTS BY WHICH THE ARTICLES OF CHARGES FRAMED AGAINST SRI MADDU NAGA VENKATA CHITTINAIDU, 49 YRS. VILLAGE REVENUE OFFICER, VAJRAGADA O/o TAHSILDAR, MAKHAVARAPALEM, ANAKAPALLI DISTRICT PROPOSED TO BE SUSTAINED.

Charge-I & II:

Documentary Evidence:

The following is the documentary evidence available to substantiate the allegation.

1.	Copy of Spandana Application vide grievance No 14400-1940 dated 15.03.2021.
2.	R.E order memo in C.No.15/RE-WVP/2021- S.11 dated 16.04.2021 of O/o the Director General, Anti- Corruption bureau, AP, Vijayawada.
3.	Mediators report dated: 28-01-2022 from 15.30 hrs to 20.45 hrs.
4.	Seizure of Mee-seva/SRO Application status in web land vide application. No. RMU012005367989 ,Dt: 05-10-2020 of Vantakula Ammaji.
5.	Seizure of Mee-seva/ SRO Application status in web land vide Application. No. RMU012005406660, Dt: 08-10-2020, with regards to rejected in favour of Vantakula Ramasankara Rao.
6.	Seizure of copy of mutation file vide Mee-Seva Application No.RMU012107167823.dt: 28-07-2021 &Mee-seva/SRO Application Status in web land application No.RMU012107167823 of Vantakula Ammaji
7.	Seizure of copy of mutation file vide Mee-Seva Application No.RMU012107167406, dt: 28-07-2021 that the Vantakula Ramasankara Rao applied for mutation of land in Survey.No.83 for an extent of Ac. 0.40
8.	Seizure of Mee-seva/ SRO Application status in web land vide application. No. RMU012107167406, Dt:-28-07-2021 with regards to approved in favour of Vantakula Ramasankara rao on 28-08-2021.
9.	Letters vide R.C.NO.189/2022, SA, Dt:03-09-2022 & 22-09-2022 of Tahsildar's office, Makavarapalem pertaining to service particulars of SO Sri Maddu Naga Venkata Chittinaidu, job chart of V.R.O, Vajragada village & procedure for mutation.
10.	Seizure of Mee-seva/ SRO Application status in web land vide application. No. RMU012106539144 ,Dt: 09-04-2021 of Vantakula Rama Sankara Rao (rejcted).
11.	Letter Vide Rc.No.1443/2022/G/D 26.09.2022, Sub-Collector's Office, Narsipatnam.
12.	Letter Vide Rc.No.1443/2022/G/D 04.11.2022, Sub-Collector's Office, Narsipatnam.
13.	Copy of RC.No.749/2022/G/Dt:19-07-2022 of Sub-Collector's Office, Narsipatnam, Anakapalli District.
14.	Any other documents to be filed at the time of enquiry.

G JAYALAKSHMI
SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT(FAC)

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER